

2010 State Treasurer Race - Kennedy (D) & Stapleton (R)
Senate Plan Comparison - REVISED

Summary	Final Senate Resubmitted Plan						Existing Districts						
	All Races		Democrat	Republican	All Races		Democrat	Republican	All Races		Democrat	Republican	
	Safe	Competitive	Highly Comp			Safe	Competitive	Highly Comp					
	35	17	18	35	16	19							
	21	9	12	23	10	13							
	5	3	2	8	6	2							
	9	5	4	4	0	4							
Senate District	Incumbent	Democrat			Republican			Democrat			Republican		
		0%	50%	100%	0%	50%	100%	0%	50%	100%	0%	50%	100%
33	Johnston (D)	Safe - D	33	83.2%	16.8%	Safe - D	33	82.9%	17.1%				
34	Guzman (D)	Safe - D	34	78.2%	21.8%	Safe - D	34	78.9%	21.1%				
18	Heath (D)	Safe - D	18	76.3%	23.7%	Safe - D	18	77.6%	22.4%				
31	Foster (D), Stea	Safe - D	31	69.8%	30.2%	Safe - D	31	71.2%	28.8%				
32	Aguilar (D)	Safe - D	32	68.7%	31.3%	Safe - D	32	66.1%	33.9%				
17	Shaffer (D)	Safe - D	17	59.8%	40.2%	Safe - D	17	59.7%	40.3%				
3	Giron (D)	Safe - D	3	59.0%	41.0%	Safe - D	3	58.6%	41.4%				
21	Open	Safe - D	21	58.9%	41.1%	Competitive - D	21	54.3%	45.7%				
14	Bacon (D)	Safe - D	14	56.3%	43.7%	Safe - D	14	56.7%	43.3%				
11	Morse (D)	Competitive - D	11	53.8%	46.2%	Competitive - D	11	52.6%	47.4%				
29	Carroll (D)	Competitive - D	29	53.6%	46.4%	Safe - D	29	62.5%	37.5%				
28	Williams (D)	Competitive - D	28	52.6%	47.4%	Competitive - D	28	54.8%	45.2%				
26	Newell (D)	Highly Comp - D	26	51.2%	48.8%	Highly Comp - R	26	48.5%	51.5%				
22	Open	Highly Comp - D	22	50.9%	49.1%	Safe - R	22	43.4%	56.6%				
24	Tochtrop (D)	Highly Comp - D	24	50.5%	49.5%	Competitive - D	24	53.6%	46.4%				
20	Boyd (D), Jahn	Highly Comp - D	20	50.3%	49.7%	Competitive - D	20	55.0%	45.0%				
19	Hudak (D)	Highly Comp - D	19	50.0%	50.0%	Highly Comp - R	19	49.7%	50.3%				
25	Hodge (D)	Highly Comp - R	25	49.9%	50.1%	Highly Comp - R	25	49.4%	50.6%				
16	Neville (R), Nich	Highly Comp - R	16	48.9%	51.1%	Competitive - D	16	53.0%	47.0%				
5	Schwartz (D)	Highly Comp - R	5	48.4%	51.6%	Highly Comp - R	5	49.3%	50.7%				
35	Open	Highly Comp - R	35	48.1%	51.9%	Safe - D	35	65.3%	34.7%				
27	Spence (R)	Competitive - R	27	46.0%	54.0%	Safe - R	27	44.7%	55.3%				
8	White (R)	Competitive - R	8	45.5%	54.5%	Competitive - R	8	45.8%	54.2%				
13	Renfroe (R)	Safe - R	13	43.6%	56.4%	Safe - R	13	39.5%	60.5%				
15	Lundberg (R)	Safe - R	15	43.0%	57.0%	Safe - R	15	42.3%	57.7%				
23	Mitchell (R)	Safe - R	23	42.6%	57.4%	Competitive - R	23	45.3%	54.7%				
6	Roberts (R)	Safe - R	6	42.1%	57.9%	Safe - R	6	42.1%	57.9%				
30	Harvey (R)	Safe - R	30	37.1%	62.9%	Safe - R	30	37.0%	63.0%				
2	Grantham (R)	Safe - R	2	36.8%	63.2%	Safe - R	2	43.2%	56.8%				
12	King, K. (R), Ca	Safe - R	12	35.6%	64.4%	Safe - R	12	39.5%	60.5%				
10	Open	Safe - R	10	34.4%	65.6%	Safe - R	10	32.2%	67.8%				
7	King, S. (R)	Safe - R	7	32.4%	67.6%	Safe - R	7	32.3%	67.7%				
4	Scheffel (R)	Safe - R	4	32.4%	67.6%	Safe - R	4	31.6%	68.4%				
1	Brophy (R)	Safe - R	1	30.3%	69.7%	Safe - R	1	30.8%	69.2%				

Senate District	Incumbent		Democrat			Republican			
			0%	50%	100%	0%	50%	100%	
9	Lambert (R)	Safe - R	9	28.6%	71.4%	Safe - R	9	30.9%	69.1%

Note: In "highly comp" (highly competitive) districts, voter shares for both candidates fall between 47.5 percent and 52.5 percent.
 In "competitive" districts, voter shares fall between 45 and 47.5 percent for the trailing party and between 52.5 and 55 percent for the leading party.
 In "safe" districts, voter shares are greater than 55 percent for either candidate.