

2010 State Treasurer Race - Kennedy (D) & Stapleton (R)
House Plan Comparison - REVISED

Summary	All Races	House Final Resubmitted Plan			Existing House Districts				
		All Races	Democrat	Republican	All Races	Democrat	Republican		
		65	34	31	65	35	30		
		Safe	41	18	23	46	21	25	
Competitive	16	12	4	13	10	3			
Highly Comp	8	4	4	6	4	2			
House District	Incumbent	Democrat	Republican	Democrat	Republican	Democrat	Republican		
		0%	50%	100%	0%	50%	100%		
8	McCann (D)	Safe - D	8	84.8%	15.2%	Safe - D	8	84.5%	15.5%
7	Williams (D)	Safe - D	7	80.3%	19.7%	Safe - D	7	80.3%	19.7%
10	Hullingerhorst (D)	Safe - D	10	79.4%	20.6%	Competitive - D	10	53.8%	46.2%
4	Pabon (D)	Safe - D	4	78.8%	21.2%	Safe - D	4	78.7%	21.3%
5	Duran (D)	Safe - D	5	77.2%	22.8%	Safe - D	5	78.4%	21.6%
2	Ferrandino (D)	Safe - D	2	73.1%	26.9%	Safe - D	2	72.5%	27.5%
6	Court (D)	Safe - D	6	68.3%	31.7%	Safe - D	6	69.1%	30.9%
13	Levy (D), Baumgardner (R)	Safe - D	13	68.0%	32.0%	Safe - D	13	79.4%	20.6%
42	Fields (D)	Safe - D	42	63.9%	36.1%	Safe - D	42	60.6%	39.4%
12	Jones (D)	Safe - D	12	63.3%	36.7%	Safe - D	12	61.7%	38.3%
9	Miklosi (D)	Safe - D	9	62.9%	37.1%	Safe - D	9	61.8%	38.2%
32	Casso (D)	Safe - D	32	61.9%	38.1%	Safe - D	32	57.1%	42.9%
1	Labuda (D)	Safe - D	1	59.4%	40.6%	Safe - D	1	59.3%	40.7%
62	Vigil (D)	Safe - D	62	58.6%	41.4%	Safe - D	62	58.7%	41.3%
53	Fischer (D)	Safe - D	53	58.1%	41.9%	Safe - D	53	56.9%	43.1%
41	Todd (D)	Safe - D	41	57.9%	42.1%	Safe - D	41	57.7%	42.3%
46	Pace (D), Swerdfeger (R)	Safe - D	46	57.3%	42.7%	Safe - D	46	65.3%	34.7%
11	Gardner (D)	Safe - D	11	56.2%	43.8%	Safe - D	11	56.0%	44.0%
23	Summers (R), A. Kerr (D), Tyler	Competitive - D	23	54.7%	45.3%	Competitive - D	23	54.6%	45.4%
24	Schafer (D)	Competitive - D	24	54.6%	45.4%	Competitive - D	24	54.3%	45.7%
52	Kefalas (D)	Competitive - D	52	54.2%	45.8%	Safe - D	52	55.3%	44.7%
35	Peniston (D)	Competitive - D	35	53.9%	46.1%	Competitive - D	35	53.7%	46.3%
34	Soper (D)	Competitive - D	34	53.8%	46.2%	Safe - D	34	60.9%	39.1%
28	Open	Competitive - D	28	53.6%	46.4%	Safe - R	28	44.1%	55.9%
18	Lee (D)	Competitive - D	18	53.6%	46.4%	Competitive - D	18	54.7%	45.3%
36	Ryden (D)	Competitive - D	36	53.2%	46.8%	Competitive - D	36	52.8%	47.2%
31	Open	Competitive - D	31	53.0%	47.0%	Competitive - D	31	53.0%	47.0%
26	Open	Competitive - D	26	52.8%	47.2%	Safe - D	26	68.7%	31.3%
61	Hamner (D)	Competitive - D	61	52.7%	47.3%	Safe - D	61	56.1%	43.9%
40	Acree (R)	Competitive - D	40	52.6%	47.4%	Highly Comp - R	40	47.9%	52.1%
29	Ramirez (R)	Highly Comp - D	29	52.5%	47.5%	Highly Comp - D	29	52.4%	47.6%
33	Beazley (R)	Highly Comp - D	33	52.2%	47.8%	Competitive - D	33	53.4%	46.6%
30	Open	Highly Comp - D	30	52.0%	48.0%	Safe - R	30	44.9%	55.1%
3	Kagan (D)	Highly Comp - D	3	50.9%	49.1%	Highly Comp - D	3	50.9%	49.1%
50	Young (D)	Highly Comp - R	50	49.2%	50.8%	Highly Comp - D	50	50.9%	49.1%
47	Open	Highly Comp - R	47	48.2%	51.8%	Competitive - R	47	47.0%	53.0%

House District	Incumbent		Democrat			Republican			
			0%	50%	100%	0%	50%	100%	
17	Barker (R)	Highly Comp - R	17	48.1%	51.9%	Highly Comp - R	17	49.0%	51.0%
59	Brown (R)	Highly Comp - R	59	48.1%	51.9%	Highly Comp - D	59	50.2%	49.8%
27	Szabo (R)	Competitive - R	27	46.5%	53.5%	Competitive - R	27	46.7%	53.3%
38	Conti (R)	Competitive - R	38	45.7%	54.3%	Competitive - R	38	46.5%	53.5%
25	Gerou (R)	Competitive - R	25	45.2%	54.8%	Safe - R	25	44.2%	55.8%
37	Swalm (R), Balmer (R)	Competitive - R	37	45.2%	54.8%	Safe - R	37	32.2%	67.8%
22	Kerr (R)	Safe - R	22	43.1%	56.9%	Safe - R	22	41.7%	58.3%
51	Nikkel (R), DelGrosso (R)	Safe - R	51	41.4%	58.6%	Safe - R	51	41.5%	58.5%
49	Open	Safe - R	49	41.0%	59.0%	Safe - R	49	40.9%	59.1%
60	Massey (R)	Safe - R	60	40.6%	59.4%	Safe - R	60	40.6%	59.4%
21	Open	Safe - R	21	39.9%	60.1%	Safe - R	21	36.8%	63.2%
56	Priola (R), Solano (D)	Safe - R	56	39.4%	60.6%	Competitive - D	56	52.8%	47.2%
57	Wilson (D)	Safe - R	57	38.7%	61.3%	Safe - R	57	34.9%	65.1%
43	McNulty (R)	Safe - R	43	38.6%	61.4%	Safe - R	43	38.5%	61.5%
63	Vaad (R)	Safe - R	63	38.2%	61.8%	Safe - R	63	39.0%	61.0%
16	Liston (R), Joshi (R)	Safe - R	16	36.4%	63.6%	Competitive - D	16	53.6%	46.4%
48	Open	Safe - R	48	36.3%	63.7%	Safe - R	48	38.5%	61.5%
58	Coram (R)	Safe - R	58	36.0%	64.0%	Safe - R	58	31.9%	68.1%
20	Gardner (R)	Safe - R	20	35.8%	64.2%	Safe - R	20	25.1%	74.9%
55	Open	Safe - R	55	35.6%	64.4%	Safe - R	55	33.0%	67.0%
44	Holbert (R)	Safe - R	44	35.3%	64.7%	Safe - R	44	35.3%	64.7%
64	McKinley (D)	Safe - R	64	34.7%	65.3%	Safe - R	64	33.8%	66.2%
65	Becker (R), Sonnenberg (R)	Safe - R	65	33.2%	66.8%	Safe - R	65	33.5%	66.5%
39	Open	Safe - R	39	32.8%	67.2%	Safe - R	39	32.2%	67.8%
15	Waller (R)	Safe - R	15	31.8%	68.2%	Safe - R	15	28.8%	71.2%
45	Murray (R)	Safe - R	45	31.8%	68.2%	Safe - R	45	31.8%	68.2%
54	Scott (R), Bradford (R)	Safe - R	54	28.5%	71.5%	Safe - R	54	31.0%	69.0%
14	Open	Safe - R	14	28.0%	72.0%	Safe - R	14	35.1%	64.9%
19	Stephens (R), Looper (R)	Safe - R	19	24.0%	76.0%	Safe - R	19	34.4%	65.6%

Note: In "highly comp" (highly competitive) districts, voter shares for both candidates fall between 47.5 percent and 52.5 percent.
 In "competitive" districts, voter shares fall between 45 and 47.5 percent for the trailing party and between 52.5 and 55 percent for the leading party.
 In "safe" districts, voter shares are greater than 55 percent for either candidate.